

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/945,543	REUSCHEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roger L Pang	3681

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Roger L Pang.

(3) _____.

(2) Alfred Mangels.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An examiner's amendment was agreed upon that would correct a possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problem.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)